

hep-th/yymmxxx
UdeM-LPN-TH-93-176

22th Conference on Differential Geometrical Methods in Theoretical Physics

Ixtapa, Mexico, September, 1993

SKYRMION-SKYRMION SCATTERING

by T. Gisiger and M. B. Paranjape

Laboratoire de physique nucléaire, Université de Montréal

C.P. 6128, succ "A", Montréal, Québec, Canada, H3C 3J7

Abstract

We study the scattering of Skyrmions at low energy and large separation using the method proposed by Manton of truncation to a finite number of degree freedom. We calculate the induced metric on the manifold of the union of gradient flow curves, which for large separation, to first non-trivial order is parametrised by the variables of the product ansatz.

1. Introduction

Scattering of solitons in a non-integrable, non-linear classical or quantum field theory remains an intractable and difficult problem, however, it concerns one of the most interesting aspects of the nature of the corresponding physics. Numerical methods have given reasonable ideas on how the scattering proceeds but they are still unsatisfactory for uncovering the detailed dynamics governing the scattering.

A method has been proposed by Manton¹ for truncating the degrees of freedom from the original infinite number to a relevant finite number of variables. The idea first considers the case of theories of the Bogomolnyi type, those theories which admit *static* soliton solutions, usually in the topological two soliton sector, which asymptotically describe two single solitons at arbitrary positions and relative orientations. The configuration at small separation contains, in general, strong deformations of the individual solitons and in fact they lose their identity. However the set of configurations have the same energy since they correspond to the continuous variation of a finite number of parameters, the moduli. Otherwise they could not be stationary points of the potential. In general, for solitons corresponding to a topological quantum number, the moduli space corresponds to the sub-manifold of minimum energy configurations within the given topological sector. Manton suggests that the low energy scattering of solitons, with initial configuration on this sub-manifold corresponding to asymptotic, single solitons, with arbitrarily small initial velocity tangent to the sub-manifold, will self-consistently be constrained to remain on the sub-manifold. Since the potential energy is a constant on the sub-manifold the resulting dynamics reduces to geodesic motion on the sub-manifold in the induced metric on the sub-manifold from the kinetic term. It is a difficult task to prove such a truncation of degrees of freedom in a mathematically rigorous fashion, however, it does seem intuitively correct. The non-linearity of the theory implies the coupling of the degrees of freedom corresponding to the sub-manifold with all other excitations through the potential. We are assuming that these are negligible. Manton and Gibbons² applied this program with remarkable success to the case of magnetic monopoles in the BPS limit and it has also been applied to vortex scattering in a similar limit³.

The generalization to the more common situation where the set of static solutions correspond to a finite set of critical points proceeds as follows. The

critical points are typically a minimum energy configuration which is essentially a bound state of two solitons, an asymptotic critical point which corresponds to two infinitely separated solitons and possibly a number of unstable non-minimal critical points of varying energies of the same order. These critical points are degenerate with a finite number of degrees of freedom. They are connected by special paths, the paths of steepest descent or equivalently the gradient flow curves. In this case Manton proposes that the dynamics will be constrained to lie on the sub-manifold comprising of the union of all these curves. This again is intuitively reasonable. If we think of the space of all configurations as a large bag, the bottom surface of the bag will correspond to this sub-manifold, and a slow moving marble rolling on the bottom will tend to stay there.

The Skyrme model falls into the second case. We identify the corresponding sub-manifold for well-separated Skyrmions and we calculate the induced metric to lowest non-trivial inverse order in the separation from the kinetic term. This is the first step towards calculating the scattering of Skyrmions in this formalism.

2. The Skyrme model

The Skyrme model is described by the Lagrangean,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \text{tr}(U^\dagger \partial_\mu U U^\dagger \partial^\mu U) + \frac{1}{32e^2} \text{tr}([U^\dagger \partial_\mu U, U^\dagger \partial_\nu U]^2)$$

where $U(x)$ is a unitary matrix valued field. We take

$$U(x) \in SU(2).$$

The Skyrme Lagrangean corresponds to first terms of a systematic expansion in derivatives of the effective Lagrangean describing describing low energy interaction of pions. It is derivable from QCD hence f_π and g are in principle calculable from QCD. What is even more surprising is that it includes the baryons as well which arise as topological solitonic solutions of the equations of motion. The original proposal of this by Skyrme⁴ in the 60's was put on solid footing by Witten⁵ in the 80's.

The topological solitons, called Skyrmions, correspond to non-trivial mappings of $\mathbb{R}^3$ plus the point at infinity into $SU(2)$:

$$U(x) : \mathbb{R}^3 + \infty \rightarrow SU(2) = S^3.$$

But

$$\mathbb{R}^3 + \infty = S^3$$

thus the homotopy classes of mappings

$$U(x) : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$$

which define

$$\Pi_3(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}$$

characterize the space of configurations.

The topological charge of each sector is given by

$$N = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int d^3\vec{x} \epsilon^{ijk} \text{tr}(U^\dagger \partial_i U U^\dagger \partial_j U U^\dagger \partial_k U)$$

which is identified with the baryon number. Thus for the scattering of two Skyrmions, we are looking at the sector of baryon number equal to 2. In this sector the minimum energy configuration should correspond to the bound state of two Skyrmions, which must represent the deuteron. The asymptotic critical point corresponds to two infinitely separated Skyrmions. There exist, known, non-minimal critical points, corresponding to a spherically symmetric configuration, the di-baryon solution⁶. The energy of this configuration is about three times the energy of a single Skyrmion. There are also, possibly, other non-minimal critical points with energy less than two infinitely separated Skyrmions⁷. The scattering of two Skyrmions will take place on the union of the paths of steepest descent which connect the various critical points.

3. Skyrmion-Skyrmion Scattering

We consider the scattering only for large separation. In this way we do not have to know the structure of this manifold in the complicated region where the two Skyrmions interact strongly and consequently are much deformed. In the region of large separation the product ansatz corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} U(\vec{x}) &= U_1(\vec{x} - \vec{R}_1)U_2(\vec{x} - \vec{R}_2) \\ &= A_1^\dagger U(\vec{x} - \vec{R}_1)A_1 A_2^\dagger U(\vec{x} - \vec{R}_2)A_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $U(\vec{x} - \vec{R}_1)$ and $U(\vec{x} - \vec{R}_2)$ correspond to the field of a single Skyrmion solution centered at R_1 and R_2 respectively. The full Skyrme model dynamics implies a deformation of each Skyrmion. This deformation, from a numerical studies, is found to be unimportant already at a separation of 1.5 fermi⁸. We will neglect this deformation.

It remains to calculate the metric on the sub-manifold parametrized by the product ansatz. We find the interesting result that the metric behaves like $1/d$ where d is the separation⁹. We find the kinetic energy:

$$T = -2M + \frac{1}{2}M\dot{\vec{R}}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}M\dot{\vec{R}}_2^2 - \Lambda \text{tr}(A_1^\dagger \dot{A}_1 A_1^\dagger \dot{A}_1) - \Lambda \text{tr}(A_2^\dagger \dot{A}_2 A_2^\dagger \dot{A}_2) + T_{int}$$

where

$$M = 4\pi \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \left\{ \frac{1}{8} f_\pi^2 \left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\sin^2 f}{r^2} \right] + \frac{1}{2e^2} \frac{\sin^2 f}{r^2} \left[\frac{\sin^2 f}{r^2} + 2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$\Lambda = (ef_\pi)^3 \int r^2 dr \sin^2 f \left[1 + \frac{4}{(ef_\pi)^2} \left(f'^2 + \frac{\sin^2 f}{r^2} \right) \right]$$

and finally the interesting term

$$T_{int} = 2f_\pi^2 \kappa^2 F_{ia}^1 F_{jb}^2 \frac{4\pi}{d} (\delta^{ij} - \hat{d}^i \hat{d}^j) D_{ab}(A_1^\dagger A_2)$$

where

$$F_{ia}^1 = -\beta^1 \dot{\beta}_a^1 + \dot{\beta}_i^1 \beta_a^1 - \epsilon_{iap} (\dot{\beta}_b^1 \alpha^1 - \beta_b^1 \dot{\alpha}^1)$$

$$A_1 = \alpha^1 + i \vec{\beta}^1 \cdot \vec{\tau}$$

$$(\alpha^1)^2 + |\vec{\beta}^1|^2 = 1$$

(correspondingly for A_2), and κ is determined by

$$f(r) \sim \frac{\kappa}{r^2}$$

and

$$\vec{d} = \vec{R}_1 - \vec{R}_2$$

$$d = |\vec{d}|.$$

The metric can be easily be obtained from this expression by choosing local coordinates on the product ansatz manifold $(\vec{R}_1, \vec{R}_2, \vec{\beta}^1, \vec{\beta}^2)$ and extracting the quadratic form relating their time derivatives.

The potential⁷ between two Skyrmions can be calculated to give

$$V = 4\pi f_\pi^2 \kappa^2 \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)(3(\hat{n} \cdot \hat{d})^2 - 1)}{d^3}$$

where θ , $\hat{n}$ pick out the element of $SU(2)$ given by $A_1 A_2^\dagger$.

The potential is clearly of higher order than the metric, hence the dominant contribution to the scattering at large separation comes only from the metric. Thus to leading order we may even neglect the potential, and then the problem reduces to calculating the geodesics on the product ansatz manifold. We are presently working this out.

Aknowlegements

We thank M. Temple-Raston for useful discussions. This work supported in part by NSERC of Canada and FCAR of Québec.

References

- [1] N.S. Manton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** 1916 (1988)
- [2] G.W. Gibbons, N. S. Manton, *Nucl. Phys.* **B274** 183 (1986)
- [3] T.M. Samols, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 145, 149 (1992)
- [4] T.H.R. Skyrme, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon.* 260, 127 (1961)
- [5] E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B223**, 422, 433 (1983)
- [6] M. Kutschera, C.J. Pethick, *Nucl. Phys.* **A440** 670 (1985)
- [7] K. Isler, J. LeTourneux, M.B. Paranjape, *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 1366 (1991), and references herein.
- [8] T.S. Walhout and J. Wambach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67, 314 (1991)
- [9] B. Schroers, Durham preprint, DTP 93-29, hep-th/9308017, has found similar behaviour for the case of rigidly spinning Skyrmions.